



Sh.PD Bansal,  
94 City Homes Colony,  
Near Spring Dale School,  
Khanna.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SMO,  
Civil Hospital, Khanna,  
Distt Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 609 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.P.D.Bansal as the Appellant**  
**Dr.Harvinder Singh for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through an RTI application dated 05.03.2021 has sought information 21 points regarding No. of workers/employees in the hospital alongwith details of salaries released from user charges – details of advertisements published for recruitment – details of recruitment committee – appointment letters of workers with date of appointment – details of posting/department – detail of regular employees in the department where these workers are posted and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SMO Civil Hospital Khanna. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 01.04.2021 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the commission on 20.05.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant.

The complainant is not satisfied with the information relating to point 4 & 13 which the respondent says cannot be provided as it is personal information.

Having gone through the RTI application and hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to provide information relating to point-13. Regarding point-4, the complainant can inspect the record by visiting the office of PIO on 18.10.2021 at 01.00 PM and get the relevant information. However, the appointment letters are not to be provided.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh.Bitu, S/o Sh Om Kumar,  
Village BholapurJhabewal,  
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Director,  
Food and Civil supplies and Consumer Affairs,  
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 603 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for theComplainant**  
**Sh.Inderpreet Singh, Inspector for the   Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through an RTI application dated 31.03.2021 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 19.03.2021 alongwith office notings/correspondence and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Director Food Supply and Consumer Affairs, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the commission on 19.05.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Mohali. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant.

The complainant is absent and vide email has informed that the PIO has not provided the complete information.

Since it is a complainant case and the complainant has come to the Commission without going to the First Appellate Authority, if the complainant is not satisfied with the information, he should go to the First Appellate Authority. The case is remanded back to the First Appellate Authority with the direction to consider this as an appeal case and dispose of the same within a period of 30 days as per the RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh.Bitu, S/o Sh Om Kumar,  
Village BholapurJhabewal,  
P.O Ramgarh, Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o District Controller,  
Food and Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,  
Sarabha Nagar, Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 581 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Complainant**  
**Sh.Inderpreet Singh, Inspector for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through an RTI application dated 31.03.2021 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 19.03.2021 alongwith office notings/correspondence and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Controller, Food Civil Supply and Consumer Affairs, Ludhiana. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the commission on 11.05.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant.

The complainant is absent and vide email has informed that the PIO has not provided the complete information.

Since it is a complainant case and the complainant has come to the Commission without going to the First Appellate Authority, if the complainant is not satisfied with the information, he should go to the First Appellate Authority. The case is remanded back to the First Appellate Authority with the direction to consider this as an appeal case and dispose of the same within a period of 30 days as per the RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh.Teja Singh, S/o Sh . Pritam Singh,  
VPO Johla, Tehsil Raikot,  
Distt. Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Drug Inspector,  
Civil Hospital, Jagraon,  
Ludhiana-6.

Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 687 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Complainant**  
**Sh.Lajwinder Kumar, Drug Inspector for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through an RTI application dated 16.03.2021 has sought information regarding map of Punjab Medical Hall Idgah Road Raikot – copy of partnership deed from 01.01.2013 to 31.03.2018 – driving license number of all partners and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Drug Inspector, Civil Hospital Jagraon. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the commission on 02.06.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the complainant.

The complainant is absent and vide letter received in the commission on 24.09.2021 has informed that he has received the information and his complainant case may be filed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh.Manjit Singh, S/o ShGurcharansingh,  
Village Maanemajra, Tehsi IChamkaurCahib,  
Distt Ropar.

... Appellant.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Director,  
Health & Family Welfare,  
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2546 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Dr.Rajesh Garg for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 05.03.2021 has sought information regarding details of food and drug officers and employees posted in District Ludhiana - copies of samples collected from year 2017, 2018 & 2019 - copies of test reports of samples – action taken against food shops/restaurants/factories etc. in case of samples found positive – samples collected for water/cold drinks/fruit drinks etc., and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellate filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 06.04.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Mohali. As per respondent, the information relating to point-1 has been sent to the appellant by Drug Inspector, Ludhiana-1 & 3 vide letter dated 11.10.2021 and information relating to point 2 to 5 has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 08.10.2021.

The appellant is absent nor has communicated any discrepancies.

If the appellant wants any clarification on the information provided, he may contact the PIO and point out the discrepancies. The PIO is directed to remove the same.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



ShJaspal Singh S/o Sh Ramesh Arora,  
R/o 319/3, Gurdeep Nagar, Jagaon,  
Distt Ludhaina.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Inspector, PUNSUP,  
Phase-2, Urban Estate,  
Dugri, Distt Ludhiana.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/oDM, PUNSUP,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 2491 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.Jaspal Singh as the Complainant**  
**Sh.Santokh Singh, Suptd. for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through an RTI application dated 01.03.2021 has sought information regarding purchase of paddy from local and central mandis in 2020 & 2021 – name of rice millers to whom the paddy allotted – bardana provided to rice millers and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of inspector PUNSUP Ludhiana. The appellant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed first appeal before the first appellate authority on 15.04.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. As per respondent the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 17.09.2021.

As per appellant, the information is not certified by the competent authority.

The PIO is directed to send certified copies of the information to the appellant within a week.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated: 12.10.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**